

Title: WP 2 Gravity field analysis

Presenter: Torsten Mayer-Gürr Affiliation: TU Graz

EGSIEM Kick Off Meeting University of Bern January 13. – 14. 2015



WP2 Overview

Monthly GRACE solutions







WP2 Overview

• T2.1 Processing Standards and Models (UBERN, UL, GFZ, TUG, CNES)

M01-M02

T2.2 Improved processing tools (UBERN, UL, GFZ, TUG, LUH, CNES)

M01-M10

- T2.3: Data analysis M11-M18 (UBERN, UL, GFZ, TUG, LUH, CNES)
- T2.4: Instrumental behaviour and End-to-End Simulator M06-M18 (LUH, GFZ)





T2.2 Improved processing tools T2.3: Data analysis (UBERN, UL, GFZ, TUG, LUH, CNES)





GRACE Level-2 Processing at GFZ

- Generation of GRACE Level-2 products based on two-step method:
 - Step 1: Calculation of precise orbits and clocks of GPS satellite constellation using GPS ground station network
 - Step 2: Adjust gravity field (and orbital/instrumental) parameters using fixed GPS reference frame from step 1
- Step 2 is based on orbit perturbation theory using the so-called "dynamic method" (also applied for CHAMP and GOCE)
- The whole processing environment required for generation of Level-2 products is consisting of the following steps:
 - Generation of precise GPS orbits & clocks
 - Preprocessing of Level-1B data (outlier detection, gap filling, reformatting etc.)
 - Definition of orbital arcs to be processed
 - Data screening and orbit determination of GRACE/GRACE-FO spacecrafts
 - Generation of normal equations
 - Solving for gravity field (and orbit/instrumental specific) parameters
 - Validation





GRACE Level-2 Processing at GFZ

Current GFZ GRACE RL05 standards: IERS2010 conventions, IGS08 (GPS constellation), background models see table below and "GRACE Processing Standards Document for GFZ RL05 Level-2 Data"

GFZ GRACE RL05 Background Models				
Static Gravity Field	EIGEN-6C			
Time-variable Gravity Field	Trend / Annual / Semiannual Model from EIGEN-6C till d/o 50x50			
Ocean Tides	EOT11a			
Atmospheric Tides S1, S2	Biancale-Bode 2003			
Atmospheric and Oceanic Non-tidal Mass Variations	AOD1B RL05			
Ocean Pole Tide	Desai [2002]			
Solid Earth & Pole Tides	IERS2010			
3 rd Body Ephemerides	JPL DE421			





GRACE Level-2 Processing at GFZ

- Planned further improvements:
 - Use of latest available / best standards and background models
 - Optimized parameterization of accelerometer and K-band observations
 - Optimized screening procedure (definition of orbital arcs, elimination of observations)
 - ...
- Required auxiliary products and latencies:
 - IGS raw data (for GPS constellation only)
 Troposphere (VMF) (for GPS constellation only)
 IGS final orbits (validation) (for GPS constellation only)
 Ionosphere (IGS daily products)
 AOD1B products
 EOP 08 C04
 1 day
 1 day
 2-3 weeks
 ~1 week
- Required latency for L2-products (GRACE SDS requirement): 2 months





- Generation of GRACE Level-2 products based on three-step method:
 - Step 1: Fixed IGS/CODE solution: GPS orbits & clocks
 - Step 2: Estimation of kinematic orbits of GRACE satellites
 - Step 3: Adjust gravity field (and orbital/instrumental) parameters





- Variance component estimation for 1 hour data:
 - Accuracies/weights for each arc (KBR & orbits)
 - Empirical covariance function (via PSD)









Combination of star camera data and angular variations



Estimation of KBR antenna center





- Estimation of (constrained) daily variations additional to AOD1B
- Constrained derived from geophysical models

covariance 1976-2000







Planned further improvements

- Stochastic modelling: separation of ACC & KBR noise
- Daily variations: Use of ~3,500 daily normal to separate noise/signal via VCE
- Outlier detection

Auxiliary input:

- GNSS orbits and clock-corrections
- AOD1B products
- EOP 08 CO4





CMA – Celestia	Al Mechanics Approach (UBern) Monthly gravity fields (90)
Data	 GRACE kinematic positions Level 1B K-band range-rates
Orbits	 Initial conditions every 24h Accelerations over 15min (constrained)
K-band	 No additional parameters Correlations not modeled
Accelerometer	 Daily scale-factors in 3 directions
A priori	 AIUB-GRACE03S up to degree 160
Background	 IERS 2010 solid Earth tides EOT11a ocean tides RL05 AOD1B dealiasing





CMA – Celestial Mechanics Approach (UBern)

10

- Planned improvements:
 - Noise model:
 - Tailored constraining of stochastic parameters
 - Stochastic covariances???
 - Down-weighting of bad observations???
- Auxiliary input:
 - GNSS orbits and clock-corrections: in-house (CODE)
 - Kinematic LEO orbits: in-house
 - Atmosphere/Ocean dealiasing
 - SLR data (validation)





SHC-noise (RMS oceans)



AIUB-RL02p(60)

Dynamical models

Gravity	$EIGEN-GRGS.RL02 \rightarrow EIGEN-6S2$
Ocean tide	$FES2004 (degree 80) \rightarrow FES2012 (Legos)$
Atmosphere	3-D ECMWF pressure grids / 6hrs \rightarrow ERA-interim / 3hrs
Ocean mass model	$MOG2D (non-IB) / 6hrs \rightarrow TUGO (Legos) / 3hrs$
Atmospheric tides	\rightarrow Not necessary any more
3 rd body	Sun, Moon, 6 planets (DE405)
Solid Earth tides	IERS Conventions 2010
Pole tides	IERS Conventions 2010
Non gravitational	Accelerometer data (+biases and scale factors)





Geometrical models

SLR stations	ITRF2008 coordinates \rightarrow updated
GPS	IGS orbits and CODE clock \rightarrow IGS Repro-1 orbits and clocks

Other models

Hydrology	Talson into account by the a priori gravity field
Glacial Isostatic Adjustment	Taken milo account by the a priori gravity field

Additional features

Strong down-weighting and spacing of the GPS observations of GRACE (wrt. RL02)

Change of inversion strategy: Choleski → *Truncated SVD*



Weakness of the sectorials

- → This point was very noticeable in the unconstrained solutions that we provided to Adrian for test purposes (not usable !)
- → The cause: a strong down-weighting of the GPS phase and range measurements on GRACE in RL03-v1.

\rightarrow Planned correction in RL03-v2:

- Adding Starlette and Stella to Lageos-1&2 will strengthen the very low degrees and improve some sectorials;
- The weight of GRACE GPS phase and range data will be brought back to a reasonable value.





Known problems of RL03 and planned improvements

An erroneous mass signal located in two small circular rings close to the Earth's poles:

→ This has lead to our recommendation <u>not to use</u> RL03-v1 above 82° latitudes North and South;



→ The cause: the SVD inversion strategy which does not allow for a correct solution of the low degree sectorials. These, in turn, perturb all the coefficients of a given order.

\rightarrow Planned correction in RL03-v2:

 Switching to a two-step approach: first a Choleski inversion of the low degrees, then injection of this solution in the normals and resolution using truncated SVD.





Acceleration approach (Lux)

- Many variants of the *acceleration approach* exist
- Here we refer to the acceleration approach as:

$$\nabla V \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{AB} = \ddot{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\| \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}_{AB} \|^2 - \dot{\rho}^2 \right)$$

- Practical implementation:
 - Reduction to residual quantity
 - Neglecting the "GPS"-term $\nabla V \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{AB} \nabla V_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{AB,0} \approx \ddot{\rho} \ddot{\rho}_0$
 - Iteration (high numerical effort)
 - currently solutions are not competitive yet (primarily limited by the implementation of the orbit integration)











Future improvements

- Implementation:
 - fix problems with orbit integration
 - add stochastic pulses/constant accelerations
 - fix parameterization
 - migration to cluster
- Methodological:

considering the "GPS" term via variational equation

$$\ddot{\rho} - \ddot{\rho}_0 \approx \nabla V \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{AB} - \nabla V_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{AB,0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{K}_{lm}} \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \left(\| \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}_{AB} \|^2 - \dot{\rho}^2 \right) \right] \Delta \bar{K}_{lm}$$





T2.4: Instrumental behavior and End-to-End Simulator





L1B Sensor data analysis (LUH)

- for reprocessing (T2.3)
- for noise time series for E2E simulation (T2.4)
- main topics:
 - accelerometer data
 - attitude data
 - LRI data





Sensor data analysis: Attitude

• Star camera common frame reprocessing

effect on inter-satellite range-rate





Sensor data analysis: Attitude

• Star camera common frame reprocessing









Sensor data analysis: Attitude

- attitude related errors
 - measurement errors
 - alignment errors
 - KBR phase center errors
- research on separation ongoing
- coupled with stochastical modeling
- options for sensor fusion





Sensor data analysis: Accelerometer

 environmental disturbances: twangs, vibrations, heater switching spikes, MTQ spikes







Sensor data analysis: Accelerometer

- environmental disturbances
 - re-processing with models for heater switching spikes, MTQ spikes, (twangs tbc), topic of SFB1128 geo-Q
 - noise time series







T2.3 Re-processing of L1B data (M11-M18)

- star camera reprocessing
 - common frame
- accelerometer
 - reductions for heater switching, MTQ spikes
 - twangs tbc





Sensor data analysis: LRI

noise modeling







T2.4 Noise time series for E2E simulation (M06 – M18)

- harmonize input with planned E2E rounds and scenarios
- attitude noise time series
 - propagated to range-rate (line of sight)
 - propagated to non-gravitational accelerations (3 axes)
- environmental accelerometer noise time series (3 axes)
- LRI noise time series
- investigate interaction with other effects
 - background model errors
 - orbit parameterization





GFZ E2E Simulator: General

- Based on GFZ EPOS (Earth Parameter and Orbit System) S/W used for real data analysis e.g. of gravity (CHAMP, GRACE, GOCE), SLR or altimetry missions
- Has been used for various simulation studies of NGGMs, e.g.
 - ESA study NG2 (2011) "Assessment of a Next Generation Gravity Mission to Monitor the Variations of Earth's Gravity Field" by Airbus, GFZ and University of Bonn
 - BMBF study (2013) "Future Gravity Field Satellite Missions" within Geotechnologien Program "Observation of the System Earth from Space
 CHAMP, GRACE, GOCE and future missions" (GFZ, industry and various D universities)
 - DLR study (2014) "Next Generation Gravity Mission Deutschland" (NGGM-D) (TU Munich, GFZ, industry, various D universities)





GFZ E2E Simulator: 5-Step Approach

- Step 1 (Constellation)
 - Define number of pairs, orbital parameters, mission duration etc.
- Step 2 (Simulation)
 - Define a-priori ("true world") background models (static gravity field, ocean tides, non-tidal mass variations (AOHIS))
 - Simulate daily white noise HL-SST (GPS code and phase)
 - Simulate daily geometric LL-SST (MWI or LRI range rate)
 - Simulate daily non-gravitational forces (from air drag, solar radiation and albedo models) and transform to accelerometer data (ACC)
- Step 3 (Noise)
 - Add realistic (colored) noise to observations (LL-SST, ACC) provided by University Hannover





GFZ E2E Simulator: 5-Step Approach

- Step 4 (Recovery)
 - Exchange "true world" background models from step 1 by models which describe "best knowledge" uncertainties and perform monthly gravity field determination using noisy observations from step 3
 - During recovery step similar parameters are adjusted as in real case scenarios (initial state vector, 3h ACC bias and scales, MWI/LRI parameters etc.)
- Step 5 (Analysis)
 - Compare for all months of missions duration "recovered" and "true world" monthly models in the spectral and spatial domain e.g. for independently calculated LRI or MWI cases





GFZ E2E Simulator: Example Background Models

- Step 2 (Simulation)
 - static gravity model (EIGEN-GL04C (Förste et al. 2008) up to degree and order 100
 - Sun and Moon ephemerides (DE405, Standish 1998),
 - ocean tides (8 main constituents Q1, O1, P1, K1, N2, M2, S2 and K2 of EOT08a, Savcenko et al. 2008)
 - non-tidal short-term mass variations for the atmosphere, oceans, hydrology, ice and solid Earth (AOHIS, Dobslaw et al. (2014))
- Step 4 (Recovery)
 - static gravity model EGM96 (Lemoine et al. 1998) up to d/o 100
 - Ocean tides (8 main constituents substituted by GOT4.7 (Ray 2008)
 - errors to the AOHIS model as described in Dobslaw et al. (2014)





GFZ E2E Simulator: Example NGGM-D

Initial baseline scenario

- Double pair constellation ("Bender")
- Near polar orbit & inclined orbit @ h=420km (2nd pair @ 70°)
- Satellite distance: 100 km
- Maximum spherical harmonic degree: 150 (133 km)
- Monthly period
- SST noise: 10⁻⁸ m (MBW) (25 nm vs 2000 nm (GRACE))
- ACC noise: 10⁻¹¹ m/s2 (MBW) (10 times GRACE)

Requirements for monthly geoid error (w/o postprocessing)

- 1mm geoid (1 cm EWH) @ 200km (minimum, 10 times better than GRACE)
- 1mm geoid (1 cm EWH) @ 150km (optimum)





GFZ E2E Simulator: Example NGGM-D

GFZ GRACE RL05a: 1mm @ deg 50

- Consistent independent GFZ and IGG Bonn solutions
- Requirements fulfilled!







T2.1 Processing Standards and Models





T2.1 Processing Standards and Models

Goal: Combined gravity field solution based on normal equation level (WP4)

We should define only mimimum requirements Allow much freedom in processing as possible

Normal equations:

- Unconstrained
- Satellite parameters pre-eliminated
- Background models restored (static field, ...)
- GRACE-only solutions (Combination of SLR on normal equation level)
- Format: SINEX?





T2.1.2 Reference system

- Center of mass (CM) : Degree 1 coefficients of all background must be zero
- Tidal system:

Zero tide (as recommended by IERS2010)

- Reference system

ITRF, no further recommendation needed? (GRACE horizontal resolution >>10 km)





T2.1.1 Background models

- Static field, trend, annual, semiannual: Dif
- Third body forces (sun, moon, planets): JPL [
- Solid earth tides:
- Ocean tides:
- Pole tides:
- Ocean pole tides:
- Atmospheric tides (S1, S2)
- Dealiasing:

Different fields **JPL DE421** IERS 2003/2010 EOT11a / FES2012 / ... (incl. equillibrium tides: Om1, Om2, Sa, Ssa, ...) IERS 2010 (w/o equation 6.4) **IERS 2010** Bode-Biancale 2003 / ... AOD1B RL05 / MOG2D / ... (Atmospheric S1/S2 removed)



T2.1.1 Background models

Different purposes

- Taylor point of Linearization
 - E.g. Static field, trend, annual, semiannual
 - Should be added back to normal equations
- Remove high frequent signals (< 1 month) for dealiasing
 - True signal is unknown: e.g. AOD1B vs. MOG2D
 - Consistency not possible and not meaningful
- Signal separation (>= 1month)
 - Depends on the application
 - GRACE observes the full signal, but at the moment:
 - Tidal effects removed
 - Atmospheric and ocean signals (some parts) are removed
 - Hydrology and Ice included

Tides: Standards required for signals > 1 month)

Add back monthly mean of dealiasing prodcuts before combination

Problem: Some of the background models are use for multiple purposes





T2.1.3 Constraints

Constraints / filtering / regularization / a priori information:

- Helps to improve the solution, BUT:
- Damps always the noise and the signal
- Smooth transition between to much and to less regularization
- Depends on the signal to noise ratio

=> Regularization not allowed before combination



T2.1.3 Constraints / Empirical parameters

Contraints:

Only constraints for pre-eliminated parameters are allowed

Possible empirical parametrization (pre-eliminated):

- Accelerometer (Mandatory: scale and bias estimation)
- KBR parametrization (bias, trend ...)
- KBR antenna center variations
- Empirical orbits parameters, stochatic pulses, ...
- Full noise variance-covariance matrix





T2.1.4 GPS observations / kinematic orbits

- Reduced-dynamic orbits:
 - Not allowed in observation vector
 - -> possible bias towards reference gravity field
- Further standards needed here? (IGS standards?)



T2.1 Processing Standards and Models

Goal: Combined gravity field solution based on normal equation level (WP4)

We should define only mimimum requirements Allow much freedom in processing as possible

Normal equations:

- Unconstrained
- Satellite parameters pre-eliminated
- Monthly mean of background models restored except tidal effects: Earth tides, long periodic ocean tides, pole tides
- GRACE-only solutions
- Format: SINEX?





Standards: overview

Approaches may differ by:

- Philosophy
- Input data
- Orbit dynamics
 - conventions
 - background models
- Earth kinematics (orientation, reference frame)
- Satellite kinematics (orientation, geom. biases)





Standards: methods, data, solutions

GFZ-RL05a	CSR-RL05	AIUB-RL02
(GFZ L2 Proc. Std. Doc.)	(UTCSR L2 Proc. Std. Doc.)	
Method: dynamic approach	Method: dynamic approach	Method: dynamic approach
1h ACC biases	ACC biases and scales	15 min. emp. ACC, constr.
no emp. ACC	no emp. ACC	Const. and 1/rev. emp. ACC
fixed relative weighting	optimal KRR-weighting	fixed relative weighting
Data: ACC 5s, ATT,	Data: ACC 1s, ATT,	Data: ACC 1s, ATT,
range-rates 5s, no corr.	range-rates 5s, no corr.	range-rates 5s, no corr.
GPS code/phase: cutoff 10°	GPS code/phase:	Kin. orbits.:
undifferenced	double diff., 2 minutes	GPS undifferenced, 30 s
Offset: 0/0/-444mm, PCVs	Offset: 0/0/-490mm, PCVs	Offset: 0/0/-452, PCVs
Solutions: tide-free monthly (90), weekly (30) mostly unconstrained	Solutions: zero-tide monthly (96) unconstrained	Solutions: tide-free monthly (90) unconstrained





Standards: background models

GFZ-RL05a	CSR-RL05	AIUB-RL02
A priori: EIGEN-6C (200) combined trends + per. var. (50) a _E =6378136.46m	A priori: GIF48 (360) combined trends not applied a _E =6378136.3m	A priori: AIUB-GRACE03S (160), satellite only tr.+ per. var. (30) not appl. a _E =6378137.0m
Ocean tides: EOT11A (80) M_{tm} , M_{sqm} : FES2004 $\Omega_{1,2}$, $S_{a,sa}$: HW95 admittances interpol.	Ocean tides: GOT4.8 (180) M _{tm} , M _{sm} : FES2004 M _m , M _f : Egbert & Ray(2003) admittances interpol.	Ocean tides: EOT11a(100) M_{tm}, M_{sqm} : FES2004 $\Omega_{1,2}, S_{a,sa}$: HW95 admittances interpol.
Non-tidal variations: AOD1B-RL05 (100) S2 removed	Non-tidal variations: AOD1B-RL05 (100) S2 removed	Non-tidal variations: AOD1B-RL05 (100)
Atmosphere tides: Bode & Biancale (2006) S1 and S2 (8/5)	Atmosphere tides: Ray & Ponte (2003)	Atmosphere tides: none





Standards: orbit dynamics

GFZ-RL05a	CSR-RL05	AIUB-RL02
Solid Earth tides: IERS2010 Planetary eph.: DE 421	Solid Earth tides: IERS2010 Planetary eph.: DE 405	Solid Earth tides: IERS2010 Planetary eph.: DE 405
Pole tide: IERS2010 IERS EOP 08 C04	Pole tide: IERS2003 IERS CO4	Pole tide: IERS2010
Ocean pole tide: Desai (30) 3rd bodies: point masses Sun, moon, 5 planets	Ocean pole tide: Desai (100) 3rd bodies: point masses Sun, moon, planets	Ocean pole tide: Desai (100) 3rd bodies: point masses Sun, moon, planets
Relativistic effects: IERS2010, incl. Lense- Thirring and de Sitter	Relativistic effects: IERS2010	Relativistic effects: IERS2010





Standards: Earth kinematics

GFZ-RL05a	CSR-RL05	AIUB-RL02
Reference frame: J2000.0, IGS08	Reference frame: J2000.0, IGS08	Reference frame: J2000.0, IGS08
Precession and nutation: IERS2010 (IAU2006/2000)	Precession and nutation: IERS2003 (IAU2000A)	Precession and nutation: IERS2010 (IAU2006/2000)
Sidereal rotation: ERA: IERS2010	Sidereal rotation: GMST: IERS2003 diurnal tide corr.: IERS1996	Sidereal rotation: IERS2010
Polar motion: IERS2010 including s' (TEO)	Polar motion: IERS2003 ocean tidal var.: IERS1996	Polar motion: IERS2010





Standards within the IGS





Standards within the IGS

The IGS analysis centers are asked to provide solutions

- following the latest IERS convention and
- refering to the most recent ITRF

to obtain a consistent set of solutions allowing a reasonable combination.





Consistency in practice







Important is to know, what people are doing:

• Exchange of most important information:

	A	В	с	D	E	F	G	
1			CODE (COF)	EMR	ESA	GFZ	GRG	JI
51								
52		a priori met source & mapping coeffs?	VMF1 mapping fnc coefficients interpolated from 6-hr grids	VMF1 mapping fnc coefficients interpolated from grids	GPT for P, T, RH	GPT2 for P, T, RH & VMF1 mapping fnc coefficients (wk 1758)	GPT2 for P, T, RH & VMF1 mapping fnc coefficients	GPT2 for GPT2 ma coeffi
53		a priori zenith delay	ECMWF-based ZDD	VMF1 grid files for ZDD & ZWD	ZDD & ZWD computed with Saastamoinen (1972) model	ZDD & ZWD computed with Saastamoinen (1972) model	ZDD & ZWD computed with Saastamoinen (1972) model	GF
54		Mapping of a priori zenith delay to line-of-sight	slant dry + wet delays mapped with VMF1_HT	slant dry + wet delays mapped with GMF	slant dry + wet delays mapped with GMF	slant dry + wet delays mapped with VMF1_HT model using GPT2 mapping fnc coeffs	slant dry + wet delays mapped with GMF	slant dı delays m; GF
55	Tropospheric Delay	Mapping function used for ZD adjustment?	VMF1_HT wet mapping fnc (VMF1W); ZWD estimated in 2-hr piece-wise linear steps for each station; loosely	GMF wet mapping fnc; ZWD estimated at each epoch as random walk with process noise = 3.0	GMF wet mapping fnc; ZWD estimated in 1-hr steps for each station	VMF1_HT wet mapping fnc (VMF1W); ZWD estimated in 1-hr steps for each	VMF1 wet mapping fnc; ZWD estimated in 2-hr steps for each station	GPT2 we fnc; ZWD at each random process n





Important is to know, what people are doing:

• Differences are indicated and discussed:

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	
1			CODE (COF)	EMR	ESA	GFZ	GRG	JI
58								
59		1st-order effect	eliminated by L1 & L2 linear combination	eliminated by L1 & L2 linear combination	eliminated by L1 & L2 linear combination	eliminated by L1 & L2 linear combination	eliminated by L1 & L2 linear combination	eliminate L2 linear c
60	lonospheric Delay	2nd-order	IERS 2010 & IGRF11	IERS 2010 & IGRF11	none	IERS 2010 & IGRF11 (began 1813) (TEC from CODE-iono grids)	IERS2010 & IGRF2011 (TEC from IGS/IGR-iono grids)	Applied Fernandes before 19 for 1999
61		3rd-order	IERS 2010 & IGRF11	none	none	none	none	nc
62								
63		Adjustment method	weighted least- squares	square-root information filter (SRIF)	Bayesian weighted least squares	least-squares adjustment according to Ge et al. (2006)	weighted least- squares	stochasti filter/sr implem squai





Usual practice in the IGS:

- The coordinator keeps track on the changes at all analysis centers.
- Significant differences are discussed between the analysis centers at regular meetings.
- If a model change is an improvement all oter groups become aware of this and follow the development (or adapt it).
 Keyword: «Friendly competition»





Achievements in the IGS

IGS **Celebrating 20 Years of Service** 1994 🕁 2014



EGSIEM Kick Off Meeting, University of Bern, January 13. – 14. 2015

TUM-IAPG Expertise relevant to EGSIEM







Products & Standards

- Together with TUM-DGFI, IAPG is operating the GGOS Bureau for Products and Standards (GGOS-BPS).
- GGOS is an initaitive of IAG with 4 major goals.







Products & Standards

- GGOS-BPS shall act as "contact & coordinating point" regarding homogenization of standards and IAG/GGOS products.
- IAG Services shall keep their full responsibility and visibility.







Products & Standards

GGOS-BPS Tasks:

- Ensure consistent standards across all IAG components. "Inventory of Standards and Conventions" (Issue 1 under review).
- Identify user needs and requirements for products.
- Initiate steps and procedures for the development of new and integrated products.
- GGOS-BPS includes GGOS-WG "Contributions to Earth SystemModelling".





Proposal for Standards







Proposal

- Action Item (End of January):
 - GFZ, UBERN, (CSR): Review the comparison table
 - TUG, CNES, UL: Fill out the comparison table
 - UBERN: Summarize KO outcome of Standards harmonization
 - UBERN, UL, GFZ, TUG, CNES: Provide input to other processing details (parametrization, ...) identified at KO
- Action Item (Mid of February):
 - UBERN: Create the EGSIEM Standards document (inspired by the GRACE Standards from GFZ/CSR) and point out commonalities/differences between individual EGSIEM ACs
 - TELECON UBERN, GFZ, TUG, CNES, UL
- Action Item (End of February):
 - UBERN, UL, GFZ, TUG, CNES: Review and Finalization of EGSIEM Standards Document



